

# The Impact of Teachers' Attitude and Commitment on Job Performance

Jenefir M. Magtoltol\*, Jane C. Oropa

North Eastern Mindanao State University, Tandag City, Surigao del Sur, Philippines

\*Corresponding Author Email: jenefir.magtoltol@deped.gov.ph

Date received: March 19, 2025 Date revised: April 18, 2025 Date accepted: May 12, 2025 Originality: 96% Grammarly Score: 99%

Similarity: 4%

#### Recommended citation:

Magtoltol, J., & Oropa, J. (2025). The impact of teachers' attitude and commitment on job performance. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, 3(6), 108-117. <a href="https://doi.org/10.69569/jip.2025.177">https://doi.org/10.69569/jip.2025.177</a>

**Abstract.** This study uses a descriptive correlational research design to investigate the impact of teachers' work attitudes and commitment on job performance. Data were collected through surveys and interviews with 121 teachers from various schools. Results indicate that most participants are female (85%), aged 26-45 (69%), and hold a bachelor's degree (91%). Findings reveal that highly motivated, enthusiastic, and dedicated teachers demonstrate stronger job performance. Additionally, affective and normative commitment positively correlate with instructional quality and classroom engagement. Institutional support, professional development, and a conducive work environment are key in sustaining motivation and effectiveness. These insights underscore the need for targeted strategies to enhance teacher commitment and work attitudes, ultimately improving educational outcomes.

**Keywords:** Educational effectiveness; Educational outcomes; Instructional quality; Job performance; Teacher's commitment.

## 1.0 Introduction

Attitude and commitment are essential qualities that influence teacher effectiveness and job performance in the school system. Teachers with a positive attitude towards students, parents, administrators, and colleagues foster a more dynamic and supportive learning environment. Their enthusiasm and motivation contribute to innovative teaching strategies that enhance student engagement. On the other hand, commitment reflects a teacher's dedication to their profession, continuous learning, and sense of responsibility. A committed teacher is likelier to be diligent, punctual, and willing to extend efforts beyond formal school hours to achieve educational goals. Together, attitude and commitment shape teaching performance and contribute to the success of the school community.

School administrators regularly evaluate teacher performance to assess their effectiveness and identify areas for improvement. Research suggests that a teacher's level of commitment is a strong predictor of job performance, as it influences their work ethic, behaviours, and application of skills in the classroom. According to Paraiso et al. (2024), positive work attitudes, driven by intrinsic motivation, enhance organizational commitment, fostering a sense of loyalty and responsibility among educators. This commitment, in turn, improves performance outcomes and contributes to the overall success of the academic institution.

Despite the growing literature on the subject, most studies focus on either work attitude or commitment individually rather than examining their combined effect on teaching performance. Much of the existing research

is based on Western educational settings, with limited studies exploring these dynamics in the Philippine Department of Education (DepEd) context. This study aims to bridge this gap by analysing how work attitude and commitment influence teacher performance. The findings of this study will provide valuable insights for teacher education programs, school administrators, and policymakers. By identifying key factors contributing to teacher performance, this research can inform strategies to foster more outstanding commitment and positive attitudes among educators, ultimately improving the quality of education in the Philippines.

# 2.0 Methodology

## 2.1 Research Design

This study employed a descriptive-correlational research design to examine the relationship between teachers' work attitudes, commitment levels, and job performance. A descriptive research approach systematically and accurately describes a population, phenomenon, or circumstance without establishing causal relationships (McCombes, 2023). This study used descriptive research to assess the extent to which work attitudes and commitment manifest among elementary school teachers. Additionally, a correlational research approach was utilized to explore the relationships between respondents' demographic profiles, work attitudes, and their levels of commitment. Correlational research measures the degree and direction of association between two or more variables without direct manipulation or control by the researcher. Correlations can be either positive or negative, helping to determine whether work attitudes and commitment significantly influence teachers' job performance. Given these objectives, the chosen research design was appropriate for understanding the factors affecting teachers' professional performance.

## 2.2 Research Respondents

The study involved 121 elementary school teachers from public schools in the Talacogon West District, Division of Agusan del Sur, Philippines. To ensure a representative sample, stratified sampling was employed, allowing the selection of participants based on key demographic factors. This sampling technique ensured that the study captured diverse perspectives on work attitudes, commitment, and job performance, leading to more reliable and generalizable findings.

# 2.3 Research Instrument

The primary data collection tool was a questionnaire checklist to measure teachers' work attitudes, commitment levels, and job performance. The questionnaire was divided into four sections. The first section gathered demographic information, including, age, gender, civil status, highest educational attainment, years in service, civil status, academic rank and position, educational qualification and income. The second section assessed teachers' work attitudes across six dimensions: attitude towards subjects taught, attitude towards superiors or school heads, attitude towards co-workers, attitude towards learners, attitude towards the school environment, and attitude towards incentives and rewards. The third section measured teachers' level of commitment in terms of affective commitment (emotional attachment to the profession), normative commitment (sense of obligation to remain in the profession), and continuance commitment (perceived cost of leaving the profession). Lastly, the fourth section evaluated teachers' job performance based on their Individual Performance Commitment and Review (IPCR) ratings for SY 2023-2024. The questionnaire underwent expert validation to ensure reliability and clarity before full deployment. A pilot test was conducted to identify and refine ambiguous questions, ensuring the instrument's effectiveness in measuring the intended constructs. Following this, the questionnaire underwent expert validation to guarantee clarity and reliability before its full deployment.

#### 2.4 Data Gathering Procedure

The researcher followed several key procedures to ensure the systematic collection of data. First, permission was secured from the Dean of the Graduate School to conduct the study. Upon approval, a formal request was sent to the Schools Division Superintendent of Agusan del Sur Division through the office of the school principals of the sixteen identified elementary schools. Once authorization was granted, the researcher personally administered the survey questionnaires to the respondents. Clear and concise instructions were provided to ensure that participants fully understood the purpose of the survey and the correct way to answer the questions. Respondents were given ample time to complete the questionnaire, and the researcher was available to clarify any concerns. After data collection, the completed questionnaires were gathered and verified to ensure completeness. The responses were then tallied, tabulated, and analyzed using statistical methods to derive meaningful insights. By

personally overseeing the distribution and collection of questionnaires, the researcher ensured a high response rate and minimized the risk of incomplete or ambiguous responses.

#### 2.5 Data Analysis

Appropriate statistical treatments were applied to analyze and interpret the gathered data. Frequency counts and percentages were used to summarize respondents' demographic characteristics, such as age, gender, civil status, academic rank, and years of service. This method provided a clear understanding of the distribution of respondents across various categories. The weighted mean was employed to measure the central tendency of responses related to work attitudes and commitment levels, allowing for an overall assessment of agreement or manifestation in each aspect. To determine whether significant differences existed in work attitudes and commitment levels based on demographic factors, Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was applied. The F-value and p-value were used to assess the statistical significance of variations among different groups. Furthermore, multiple regression analysis explored how various work attitudes—such as attitudes toward subjects, colleagues, and the school environment—influenced overall commitment and job performance. The coefficient values indicated the strength and direction of these relationships, while the t-value and p-value determined their statistical significance. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered indicative of a meaningful relationship. By employing these statistical techniques, the study ensured that findings were objective, accurate, and meaningful, contributing to a comprehensive understanding of the factors affecting teachers' job performance.

#### 2.6 Ethical Considerations

The study adhered to ethical principles to ensure the integrity and confidentiality of the research process. The researcher maintained trustworthiness by ensuring transparency in data collection and analysis procedures. Participants voluntarily took part in the study, and their responses were recorded accurately without bias. Confidentiality was strictly observed by securely storing collected data and preventing unauthorized access. Personal identifiers were safeguarded to protect respondents' privacy, and after completing the data analysis, all research materials—including transcripts, recordings, and notes—were securely destroyed. Furthermore, anonymity was maintained by ensuring no personal information could be linked to respondents' answers. This approach encouraged participants to provide honest and accurate responses without concern for privacy violations.

## 3.0 Results and Discussion

## 3.1 Demographic Profile of the Respondents

Table 1 presents the study's respondents, focusing on age, gender, civil status, years in service, academic rank and position, highest educational attainment, and income. The age distribution of the 121 teachers surveyed reveals that a majority (69%) fall within the 26–45 age range, reflecting a predominantly young to middle-aged workforce. This trend corresponds with the findings of the National Center for Education Statistics, which indicate that public school teachers are more likely to be in their 30s and 40s than the broader U.S. workforce (Kent, 2024). Notably, only 7% of the surveyed teachers are above 56 years old, aligning with studies showing a lower proportion of older teachers than other professions (Kent, 2024). This age composition has significant implications for workforce planning, professional development, and institutional succession strategies.

Gender distribution data indicate that female educators constitute 85% of the surveyed group, with male teachers representing only 15%. The underrepresentation of male teachers is more pronounced in elementary education, where they account for just 11%, compared to 36% in secondary schools. Over the past decades, the proportion of male teachers has declined, decreasing from 30% in 1988 to 23% in recent years (Reeves, 2025). The survey also examined civil status, finding that 70% of teachers are married, 26% are single, and 4% are widowed. Research indicates that marital status influences job satisfaction, with married educators reporting higher satisfaction levels (Mocheche et al., 2018). This demographic factor is critical as it may affect work-life balance, retention rates, and overall job satisfaction.

Regarding professional experience, 57% of surveyed teachers have between 1 and 10 years of service, with 28% falling into the 1–5-year category and 29% within the 6–10-year range. This suggests a relatively young teaching workforce. Research highlights the strong correlation between teacher engagement and job performance; Siddique et al. (2023) found that work engagement significantly enhances secondary school teachers' performance.

Furthermore, Assaf and Antoun (2024) emphasize the interconnectedness of teachers' occupational well-being and job satisfaction, contributing to teaching effectiveness and student outcomes.

**Table 1.** Demographic Profile of the respondents

Age         0-25       11       9.00%         26-45       83       69.0%         46-55       19       16.0%         >56       8       7.00%         Gender         Male       18       15.0%         Female       103       85.0%         Civil Status         Single       31       26.0%         Married       85       70.0%         Widowed       5       4.00%         Years in Service         1-5 years       34       28.0%         6-10 years       35       29.0%         11-15 years       25       21.0%         16-20 years       7       6.00%         21-25 years       6       5.00%         26 years up       14       12.0%         Academic Rank and Position       Teacher I       58       48.0%         Teacher II       10       8.00%         Master Teacher II       46       38.0%         Master Teacher III       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       0       0.00%         Bachelor's Degree       7       6.00%         Docto	Table 1. Demographic Profile of the respondents				
0-25 26-45 83 69.0% 46-55 19 16.0% > 56 8 7.00%  Gender  Male 18 15.0% Female 103 85.0%  Civil Status Single 31 26.0% Married 85 70.0% Widowed 5 4.00% Years in Service 1-5 years 34 28.0% 6-10 years 11-15 years 25 21.0% 16-20 years 26 years up Academic Rank and Position Teacher I Teacher II 10 8.00% Master Teacher II 10 Master Teacher II 11 Master Teacher II 12 Master Teacher II 13 Master Teacher II 14 Master Teacher II 15 Master Teacher II 16 Master Teacher II 17 Master Teacher II 18 Master Teacher II 19 Master Teacher II 10 Master Teacher II 11 11 12 Master Teacher II 12 Master Teacher II 13 Master Teacher II 14 Master Teacher II 15 Master Teacher II 16 Master Teacher II 17 Master Teacher II 18 Master Teacher II 19 Master Teacher II 10 Master Teacher II 11 11 12 Master Teacher II 12 Master Teacher II 13 Master Teacher II 14 Master Teacher II 15 Master Teacher II 16 Master Teacher II 17 Master Teacher II 18 Master Teacher II 19 Master Teacher II 10 Master Teacher II 10 Master Teacher II 11 11 12 Master Teacher II 12 Master Teacher II 13 Master Teacher II 14 Master Teacher II 15 Master Teacher II 16 Master Teacher II 17 Master Teacher II 18 Master Teacher II 19 Master Teacher II 10 Maste	Categories	Frequency	Percentage		
26-45     83     69.0%       46-55     19     16.0%       >56     8     7.00%       Gender     Tolon     85       Male     18     15.0%       Female     103     85.0%       Civil Status     85     70.0%       Single     31     26.0%       Married     85     70.0%       Widowed     5     4.00%       Years in Service     34     28.0%       6-10 years     35     29.0%       11-15 years     25     21.0%       16-20 years     7     6.00%       21-25 years     6     5.00%       26 years up     14     12.0%       Academic Rank and Position     Teacher I     58     48.0%       Teacher II     10     8.00%       Master Teacher II     46     38.0%       Master Teacher III     0     0.00%       Educational Qualification     Bachelor's Degree     7     6.00%       Doctorate Degree     7     6.00%       Doctorate Degree     4     3.00%       Income     P25,000.00 - P29,000.00     57     47.0%       P30,000.00 - P34,000.00     56     46.0%					
19					
Sender   S					
Gender         Male       18       15.0%         Female       103       85.0%         Civil Status       Single       31       26.0%         Married       85       70.0%         Widowed       5       4.00%         Years in Service       34       28.0%         6-10 years       35       29.0%         6-10 years       35       29.0%         11-15 years       25       21.0%         16-20 years       7       6.00%         21-25 years       6       5.00%         26 years up       14       12.0%         Academic Rank and Position       Teacher I       58       48.0%         Teacher II       10       8.00%         Teacher III       10       8.00%         Master Teacher II       6       5.00%         Master teacher III       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       Bachelor's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       P25,000.00 - P29,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56	46-55	19	16.0%		
Male       18       15.0%         Female       103       85.0%         Civil Status       31       26.0%         Married       85       70.0%         Widowed       5       4.00%         Years in Service       34       28.0%         6-10 years       35       29.0%         11-15 years       25       21.0%         16-20 years       7       6.00%         21-25 years       6       5.00%         26 years up       14       12.0%         Academic Rank and Position       Teacher I       58       48.0%         Teacher II       10       8.00%         Teacher III       46       38.0%         Master Teacher II       1       1.00%         Master teacher III       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       8achelor's Degree       110       91.0%         Master's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       P25,000.00 - P29,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%	>56	8	7.00%		
Female       103       85.0%         Civil Status       85.0%         Single       31       26.0%         Married       85       70.0%         Widowed       5       4.00%         Years in Service       35       4.00%         1-5 years       34       28.0%         6-10 years       35       29.0%         11-15 years       25       21.0%         16-20 years       7       6.00%         21-25 years       6       5.00%         26 years up       14       12.0%         Academic Rank and Position       8       48.0%         Teacher I       58       48.0%         Teacher II       10       8.00%         Teacher III       46       38.0%         Master Teacher II       1       1.00%         Master teacher III       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       8       10       91.0%         Master's Degree       110       91.0%       91.0%         Master's Degree       7       6.00%       00       00         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%       1         Income       25,000.00 - P29,000	Gender				
Civil Status         Single       31       26.0%         Married       85       70.0%         Widowed       5       4.00%         Years in Service       34       28.0%         1-5 years       34       28.0%         6-10 years       35       29.0%         11-15 years       25       21.0%         16-20 years       7       6.00%         21-25 years       6       5.00%         26 years up       14       12.0%         Academic Rank and Position       Teacher I       58       48.0%         Teacher II       10       8.00%         Teacher III       46       38.0%         Master Teacher II       1       1.00%         Master teacher III       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       1       10         Bachelor's Degree       110       91.0%         Master's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       P25,000.00 - P29,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%	Male	18	15.0%		
Single       31       26.0%         Married       85       70.0%         Widowed       5       4.00%         Years in Service       35       28.0%         1-5 years       34       28.0%         6-10 years       35       29.0%         11-15 years       25       21.0%         16-20 years       7       6.00%         21-25 years       6       5.00%         26 years up       14       12.0%         Academic Rank and Position       Teacher I       58       48.0%         Teacher II       10       8.00%         Teacher III       46       38.0%         Master Teacher II       1       1.00%         Master teacher III       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       1       10         Bachelor's Degree       110       91.0%         Master's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       7       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%		103	85.0%		
Married 85 70.0% Widowed 5 4.00% Years in Service 1-5 years 34 28.0% 6-10 years 35 29.0% 11-15 years 25 21.0% 16-20 years 7 6.00% 21-25 years 6 5.00% 26 years up 14 12.0% Academic Rank and Position Teacher I 58 48.0% Teacher II 10 8.00% Teacher III 46 38.0% Master Teacher II 6 5.00% Master Teacher II 1 1.00% Master Teacher II 1 1.00% Master Teacher III 0 0.00% Educational Qualification Bachelor's Degree 110 91.0% Master's Degree 7 6.00% Doctorate Degree 4 3.00% Income P25,000.00 - P29,000.00 57 47.0% P30,000.00 - P34,000.00	Civil Status				
Widowed       5       4.00%         Years in Service       1-5 years       34       28.0%         6-10 years       35       29.0%         11-15 years       25       21.0%         16-20 years       7       6.00%         21-25 years       6       5.00%         26 years up       14       12.0%         Academic Rank and Position       Teacher I       58       48.0%         Teacher II       10       8.00%         Teacher III       46       38.0%         Master Teacher II       1       1.00%         Master teacher III       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       Bachelor's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%       1.00%         Income       P25,000.00 - P29,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%	Single	31	26.0%		
Years in Service         1-5 years       34       28.0%         6-10 years       35       29.0%         11-15 years       25       21.0%         16-20 years       7       6.00%         21-25 years       6       5.00%         26 years up       14       12.0%         Academic Rank and Position         Teacher I       58       48.0%         Teacher II       10       8.00%         Teacher III       46       38.0%         Master Teacher II       1       1.00%         Master teacher III       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       0       0.00%         Bachelor's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       7       47.0%         P25,000.00 - P29,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%	Married	85	70.0%		
1-5 years 34 28.0% 6-10 years 35 29.0% 11-15 years 25 21.0% 16-20 years 7 6.00% 21-25 years 6 5.00% 26 years up 14 12.0% Academic Rank and Position Teacher I 58 48.0% Teacher II 10 8.00% Teacher III 46 38.0% Master Teacher II 6 5.00% Master Teacher II 1 1.00% Master Teacher III 1 1.00% Master Teacher III 0 0.00% Educational Qualification Bachelor's Degree 110 91.0% Master's Degree 7 6.00% Doctorate Degree 4 3.00% Income P25,000.00 - P29,000.00 57 47.0% P30,000.00 - P34,000.00 56 46.0%	Widowed	5	4.00%		
6-10 years 35 29.0% 11-15 years 25 21.0% 16-20 years 7 6.00% 21-25 years 6 5.00% 26 years up 14 12.0%  Academic Rank and Position  Teacher I 58 48.0% Teacher II 10 8.00% Teacher III 46 38.0% Master Teacher II 6 5.00% Master Teacher II 1 1.00% Master Teacher III 1 1.00% Master Teacher III 0 0.00% Educational Qualification Bachelor's Degree 110 91.0% Master's Degree 7 6.00% Doctorate Degree 4 3.00% Income P25,000.00 - P29,000.00 57 47.0% P30,000.00 - P34,000.00 56 46.0%	Years in Service				
11-15 years       25       21.0%         16-20 years       7       6.00%         21-25 years       6       5.00%         26 years up       14       12.0%         Academic Rank and Position         Teacher I       58       48.0%         Teacher II       10       8.00%         Teacher III       46       38.0%         Master Teacher I       6       5.00%         Master Teacher III       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       0       0.00%         Bachelor's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       P25,000.00 - P29,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%	1-5 years	34	28.0%		
16-20 years       7       6.00%         21-25 years       6       5.00%         26 years up       14       12.0%         Academic Rank and Position         Teacher I       58       48.0%         Teacher II       10       8.00%         Teacher III       46       38.0%         Master Teacher I       6       5.00%         Master Teacher III       1       1.00%         Master teacher III       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       0       0.00%         Bachelor's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       10       10         P25,000.00 - P29,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%	6-10 years	35	29.0%		
21-25 years       6       5.00%         26 years up       14       12.0%         Academic Rank and Position         Teacher I       58       48.0%         Teacher II       10       8.00%         Teacher III       46       38.0%         Master Teacher I       6       5.00%         Master Teacher III       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       0       0.00%         Bachelor's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       P25,000.00 - P29,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%	11-15 years	25	21.0%		
21-25 years       6       5.00%         26 years up       14       12.0%         Academic Rank and Position         Teacher I       58       48.0%         Teacher II       10       8.00%         Teacher III       46       38.0%         Master Teacher I       6       5.00%         Master Teacher III       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       0       0.00%         Bachelor's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       P25,000.00 - P29,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%	16-20 years	7	6.00%		
26 years up 14 12.0%  Academic Rank and Position  Teacher I 58 48.0%  Teacher II 10 8.00%  Teacher III 46 38.0%  Master Teacher I 6 5.00%  Master Teacher II 1 1 1.00%  Master teacher III 0 0.00%  Educational Qualification  Bachelor's Degree 110 91.0%  Master's Degree 7 6.00%  Doctorate Degree 4 3.00%  Income  P25,000.00 - P29,000.00 57 47.0%  P30,000.00 - P34,000.00 56 46.0%		6	5.00%		
Academic Rank and Position         Teacher I       58       48.0%         Teacher II       10       8.00%         Teacher III       46       38.0%         Master Teacher I       6       5.00%         Master Teacher III       1       1.00%         Master teacher III       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       0       0.00%         Bachelor's Degree       110       91.0%         Master's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       P25,000.00 - P29,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%		14	12.0%		
Teacher II       10       8.00%         Teacher III       46       38.0%         Master Teacher I       6       5.00%         Master Teacher III       1       1.00%         Master teacher IIII       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       8       110       91.0%         Master's Degree       7       6.00%       100%       100%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%       100% <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
Teacher III       46       38.0%         Master Teacher I       6       5.00%         Master Teacher II       1       1.00%         Master teacher III       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       0       91.0%         Master's Degree       110       91.0%         Master's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       P25,000.00 - P29,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%	Teacher I	58	48.0%		
Master Teacher I       6       5.00%         Master Teacher II       1       1.00%         Master teacher III       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       0       91.0%         Bachelor's Degree       110       91.0%         Master's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       P25,000.00 - P29,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%	Teacher II	10	8.00%		
Master Teacher II       1       1.00%         Master teacher III       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       0       0.00%         Bachelor's Degree       110       91.0%         Master's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       1       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%	Teacher III	46	38.0%		
Master teacher III       0       0.00%         Educational Qualification       0       91.0%         Bachelor's Degree       110       91.0%         Master's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       -       25,000.00 - P29,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%	Master Teacher I	6	5.00%		
Educational Qualification         Bachelor's Degree       110       91.0%         Master's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income         P25,000.00 - P29,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%	Master Teacher II	1	1.00%		
Bachelor's Degree       110       91.0%         Master's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       P25,000.00 - P29,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%	Master teacher III	0	0.00%		
Bachelor's Degree       110       91.0%         Master's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       P25,000.00 - P29,000.00       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%	<b>Educational Qualification</b>				
Master's Degree       7       6.00%         Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%	· -	110	91.0%		
Doctorate Degree       4       3.00%         Income       57       47.0%         P30,000.00 - P34,000.00       56       46.0%	e e	7	6.00%		
Income       P25,000.00 - P29,000.00     57     47.0%       P30,000.00 - P34,000.00     56     46.0%	9	4	3.00%		
P25,000.00 - P29,000.00 57 47.0% P30,000.00 - P34,000.00 56 46.0%	0				
P30,000.00 - P34,000.00 56 46.0%		57	47.0%		
	The state of the s	56	46.0%		
P45,000.00 - P49,000.00 7 6.00%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7			
P50 ,000.00 above 1 1.00%		1	1.00%		

The academic rank distribution indicates that 48% of the surveyed teachers hold the position of Teacher II, 8% are Teacher III, and a combined 6% occupy Master Teacher roles. This suggests that most are in their academic careers' early to mid-stages. Studies show that higher academic ranks are associated with increased job satisfaction, primarily due to salary increments and professional recognition (Mgaiwa, 2023). Additionally, academic rank has been linked to research productivity, with faculty in senior positions achieving greater academic output (Eckhaus & Davidovitch, 2020).

Regarding educational attainment, 91% of surveyed teachers hold a bachelor's degree, 6% have a master's degree, and 3% possess a doctorate. This distribution suggests that while most educators have foundational qualifications, relatively few pursue advanced degrees. Prior research underscores the significance of higher educational attainment in instructional quality, with teachers holding advanced degrees demonstrating superior classroom management and pedagogical effectiveness (Kelechi & Nnamdi, 2024). Similarly, Bacus et al. (2024) identify education level as a key predictor of teaching effectiveness, reinforcing the importance of continued professional development.

The distribution of designations mirrors the academic rank findings, with 48% classified as Teacher I, 8% as Teacher II, 38% as Teacher III, and 6% as Master Teachers. This hierarchical structure reflects a trajectory of professional growth within the teaching profession. Research suggests that higher designations often require advanced certification and more significant experience and contribute positively to teacher performance. Antoni et al. (2023) found that certified teachers exhibited enhanced motivation and teaching effectiveness, underscoring the role of credentials in improving job performance and educational outcomes.

Salary distribution among the surveyed teachers reveals that 47% earn between P25,000.00 and P29,000.00, 46% earn between P30,000.00 and P34,000.00, 6% earn between P45,000.00 and P49,000.00, while only 1% earn above P50,000.00. This indicates that most teachers fall within the P25,000.00 to P34,000.00 income bracket. Compensation plays a pivotal role in job satisfaction and retention; Fabella et al. (2022) found that 92% of public school teachers in the Philippines earn salaries within this range, contributing to increased job migration. This suggests that salary levels may influence teachers' decisions to seek employment abroad, with implications for workforce stability and policy development.

#### 3.2 Work Attitude of Teachers

Table 2 presents the extent to which teachers manifest positive work attitudes, revealing variations across different aspects of their professional environment. Notably, attitudes toward learners (Mean = 4.39), the subjects taught (Mean = 4.37), and co-workers (Mean = 4.35) are categorized as "Extremely Manifested," indicating a strong commitment in these areas. In contrast, attitudes toward incentives (Mean = 3.845) and the school head (Mean = 4.07) are rated as "Highly Manifested," reflecting comparatively lower, yet still positive, perceptions.

**Table 2.** *The work attitude of teachers* 

Indicators	Mean	Adjectival Rating
Subject Taught	4.37	Extremely Manifested
Superior/School Head	4.07	Highly Manifested
Co-Worker	4.35	Extremely Manifested
Learners	4.39	Extremely Manifested
School Environment	4.14	Highly Manifested
Incentives or Rewards	3.84	Highly Manifested
Overall Mean	4.19	Highly Manifested

These findings align with existing research emphasizing the pivotal role of teacher attitudes in educational settings. Berhanu (2024) found that positive attitudes toward performance appraisal systems significantly enhance job performance, particularly when coupled with high motivation levels. Similarly, Siddique et al. (2022) demonstrated that increased work engagement positively influences teachers' job performance, underscoring the importance of dedication and enthusiasm in teaching. Moreover, Han and Yin (2016) highlight the role of intrinsic motivation in job performance, suggesting that internal factors are more influential than external incentives. The comparatively lower mean score for attitudes toward incentives may reflect a broader trend wherein intrinsic motivators, such as commitment to students and passion for teaching, impact job performance more than extrinsic rewards. This perspective is supported by Han and Yin (2016), who argue that intrinsic motivation is a stronger determinant of teaching effectiveness than external incentives.

Table 3 presents a regression analysis examining the relationship between various facets of teachers' work attitudes and their job performance. The predictors include attitudes towards the subject taught, school head, coworkers, learners, environment, and incentives. The coefficients for these predictors range from 0.01 to 0.08, with corresponding t-values between 0.19 and 0.84, and p-values all exceeding 0.05. These results indicate that none of the individual predictors have a statistically significant impact on job performance. The overall model has an R-squared value of 4.2%, suggesting that the predictors explain only a small portion of the variance in job performance. The F-statistic of 0.83 with a p-value of 0.55 further confirms the lack of a significant relationship between the combined predictors and job performance.

**Table 3.** Regression analysis for work attitude and job performance of teachers

Predictors	Coefficient	t-value	P-value	Decision
Work Attitude towards Subject Taught	0.01	0.20	0.84	Not Significant
Work Attitude towards School Head	0.05	0.52	0.60	Not Significant
Work Attitude towards Co-Worker	0.06	0.70	0.48	Not Significant
Work Attitude towards Learners	0.08	0.43	0.68	Not Significant
Work Attitude towards Environment	0.07	0.32	0.75	Not Significant
Work Attitude towards Incentives	0.04	0.19	0.85	Not Significant
S=0.3052	R-Sq= 4.2%	R-Sq(adj	)=0.0%	

Contrary to the findings in Table 3, several studies have identified significant relationships between teachers' work attitudes and their job performance. Jimenez (2020) conducted a study on secondary school teachers in Central

Luzon, Philippines, and found that positive work attitudes, particularly a strong sense of efficacy and community, were significantly correlated with higher teaching performance. The study utilized a descriptive-correlational method and highlighted the importance of emotional maturity and positive work habits in enhancing teaching performance. Moreover, Nwogbo and Ugwuoke (2022) explored the correlation between teachers' attitudes and job performance in secondary schools in Anambra State, Nigeria. Their research revealed a positive correlation, emphasizing that teachers with favorable attitudes towards their work tend to exhibit better job performance. The study recommended that governments should enhance the desirability of the teaching profession to foster positive work attitudes. These studies suggest that while the regression analysis in Table 3 did not find significant relationships, other research indicates that positive work attitudes can play a crucial role in enhancing teachers' job performance. The discrepancy may be due to differences in research design, sample populations, or contextual factors.

#### 3.3 Work Commitment of Teachers

Table 4 presents the work commitment levels of teachers, revealing a strong affective commitment (M = 4.36, "Strongly Agree"), and high normative (M = 4.03) and continuance commitment (M = 4.02), both rated as "Agree." The overall mean of 4.14 indicates that teachers generally agree with statements reflecting their commitment to their profession. This suggests that teachers feel emotionally attached to their roles, recognize a sense of obligation to remain in their positions, and perceive benefits in continuing their employment.

**Table 4.** The work commitment of teachers

Indicators	Mean	Adjectival Rating
Affective	4.36	Strongly Agree
Normative	4.03	Agree
Continuance Commitment	4.02	Agree
Overall Mean	4.14	Agree

These findings align with the study by Shu (2022), which emphasized the significance of teacher commitment in influencing work engagement and well-being. Shu highlighted that committed educators are more likely to be engaged and experience higher levels of well-being, which in turn positively affects their teaching performance and student outcomes. Furthermore, the research by Türk and Korkmaz (2022) supports these results, indicating that teachers with higher levels of professional commitment and dedication exhibit more positive attitudes towards their profession. Their study found that such commitment levels are predictors of teachers' attitudes and are influenced by factors like gender, seniority, and teaching branch.

Table 5 presents a regression analysis examining the relationship between teachers' organizational commitment — specifically affective, normative, and continuance commitment — and their job performance. The analysis reveals that none of the commitment dimensions significantly predict job performance, as indicated by p-values exceeding the 0.05 threshold (affective: p=0.84; normative: p=0.60; continuance: p=0.48). The model accounts for a mere 3.9% of the variance in job performance ( $R^2=3.9\%$ ), suggesting that other factors may play a more substantial role in influencing performance outcomes.

**Table 5.** *Regression analysis for commitment and job performance of teachers* 

Predictors	Coefficient	t-value	P-value	Decision
Affective	0.01	0.20	0.84	Not Significant
Normative	0.05	0.52	0.60	Not Significant
Continuance Commitment	0.06	0.70	0.48	Not Significant
S= 0.3016	R-Sq=3.9%		R-Sq(adj)=1.4%	
Analysis of Variance				
Source	SS	MS	F	P-value
Regression	0.43	0.14	1.59	0.19
Residual Error	10.6	0.09		
Total	11.0			

Table 6. Variation of the work attitude and commitment of teachers according to profile							
Sources of Variations		Computed f	P-value	Decision	Conclusion		
Subject Taught	Age	2.140	0.146	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Gender	0.340	0.714	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Civil Status	0.250	0.782	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Years in Service	1.150	0.336	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Academic Rank	0.820	0.488	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Educational Attainment	0.200	0.896	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Designation	1.100	0.337	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Income	0.720	0.588	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
Superior/School Head	Age	0.070	0.785	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Gender	2.840	0.062	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Civil Status	1.330	0.268	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Years in Service	2.500	0.034	Reject Null Hypothesis	Significant		
	Academic Rank	1.700	0.172	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Educational Attainment	0.050	0.983	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Designation Income	4.030 1.800	0.020 0.162	Reject Null Hypothesis	Significant Not Significant		
Co-Worker	Age	0.000	0.102	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
CO-WOIKEI	Gender	1.960	0.145	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Civil Status	1.650	0.143	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Years in Service	0.230	0.137	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Academic Rank	2.930	0.037	Reject Null Hypothesis	Significant		
	Educational Attainment	1.460	0.037	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Designation	0.140	0.866	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Income	3.930	0.021	Reject Null Hypothesis	Significant		
Learner	Age	0.020	0.897	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
Bearrier	Gender	1.190	0.307	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Civil Status	2.160	0.120	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Years in Service	0.880	0.495	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Academic Rank	1.590	0.195	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	<b>Educational Attainment</b>	0.530	0.665	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Designation	0.710	0.491	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Income	1.690	0.185	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
School Environment	Age	0.060	0.808	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Gender	1.660	0.194	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Civil Status	2.940	0.057	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Years in Service	0.980	0.435	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Academic Rank	1.590	0.195	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Educational Attainment	1.650	0.182	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Designation	0.710	0.491	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Income	1.890	0.174	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
Incentives/ Rewards	Age	0.10	0.940	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Gender	0.140	0.874	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Civil Status	0.330	0.717	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Years in Service	1.930	0.095	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Academic Rank	0.180	0.908	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Educational Attainment	0.440	0.727	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Designation	0.110	0.898	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
A CC	Income	1.890	0.174	Failed to Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
Affective	Age	2.180	0.142	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Gender	0.230	0.797	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Civil Status	3.010	0.053	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Years in Service Academic Rank	0.450	0.816	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
		0.650	0.588	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Educational Attainment	2.210	0.091	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Designation Income	0.260	0.772 0.498	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant Not Significant		
Normative	Age	0.550 0.290	0.498	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
1 WILLIAM C	Gender	0.290	0.390	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Civil Status	3.070	0.489	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Years in Service	0.800	0.553	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Academic Rank	0.480	0.699	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Educational Attainment	2.980	0.034	Reject Null Hypothesis	Significant		
	Designation	0.270	0.762	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Income	0.340	0.397	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
Continuance Commitment	Age	0.120	0.733	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
23C Communiciti	Gender	2.030	0.736	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Civil Status	4.080	0.019	Reject Null Hypothesis	Significant		
	Years in Service	1.080	0.374	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Academic Rank	1.440	0.236	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Educational Attainment	2.460	0.066	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Designation	0.430	0.650	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
	Income	2.240	0.167	Failed Reject Null Hypothesis	Not Significant		
				, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	U		

These findings align with the study by Pan and Arguelles (2024), which found that while affective commitment positively impacts task and contextual performance, normative and continuance commitments do not significantly influence these performance aspects. Similarly, Owan (2021) reported that staff placement and motivation significantly predict affective and continuance commitment but not normative commitment, indicating that certain organizational factors may differentially affect commitment dimensions. Furthermore, Viernes et al. (2024) observed a strong correlation between teachers' performance and their job commitment, particularly career commitment, but noted no significant relationship with organizational, service, or work commitments. These studies collectively suggest that while certain facets of commitment may influence job performance, the relationship is complex and may be moderated by other organizational and individual factors.

## 3.4 Work Attitude and Commitment of Teachers When Grouped According to Profile

Table 6 reveals significant differences between teachers' demographic profiles, work attitudes, and levels of commitment. The failure to reject the null hypothesis across most variables indicates that demographic factors such as age, gender, civil status, years in service, academic rank, educational attainment, designation, and income do not significantly influence teachers' perceptions of their work environment or relationships within the school setting. These findings align with research suggesting that institutional policies, leadership styles, and organizational culture have a more significant impact on teacher motivation and job satisfaction than individual demographic characteristics (Kim et al., 2023).

The analysis indicates that among various demographic factors, only a few—specifically, years in service and designation under superior/school head, academic rank and income under co-worker, educational attainment under normative commitment, and civil status under continuance commitment—show statistically significant relationships with aspects of teacher commitment. This suggests that while many demographic variables do not significantly influence teacher commitment, certain factors related to professional experience and status do have an impact. Supporting this, Balanquit et al. (2023) found that higher educational attainment among faculty members in Philippine state universities correlates with better performance in the Licensure Examination for Teachers (LET), highlighting the importance of advanced qualifications in teaching effectiveness. Additionally, study of Fang and Qi (2023) have shown that a positive school climate, characterized by supportive leadership and collegial relationships, enhances teachers' self-efficacy and job satisfaction, which are critical components of organizational commitment. These findings underscore the multifaceted nature of teacher commitment, influenced by both individual qualifications and the broader work environment.

## 3.5 Job Performance of Teachers

Table 7 presents the distribution of teachers' job performance based on their Individual Performance Commitment and Review Form (IPCRF) ratings. A significant majority, 85%, achieved a "Very Satisfactory" rating (3.500–4.499), while 10% attained an "Outstanding" rating (4.500–5.000). Only 5% were rated as "Satisfactory" (2.500–3.499), and notably, no teachers fell into the "Unsatisfactory" or "Poor" categories (Gragasin et al, 2023).

Range	Frequency	Percentage	Adjectival Rating
4.500 - 5.000	12	10%	Outstanding
3.500 - 4.499	103	85%	Very Satisfactory
2.500 - 3.499	6	5%	Satisfactory
1.500 - 2.499	0	0%	Unsatisfactory
Below 1.499	0	0%	Poor

These findings align with recent studies highlighting factors contributing to high teacher performance. For instance, Gragasin et al. (2023) found that job satisfaction, moderate anxiety levels, and a positive attitude toward teaching significantly influence higher IPCRF ratings among teachers in the Philippines. Similarly, Cadag (2024) emphasized the role of the IPCRF as an effective evaluation tool, noting that while many teachers achieved outstanding ratings, there remains a need for technical assistance to address areas of improvement. Furthermore, Nagera and Espiritu (2024) observed that professional development initiatives positively impact teacher performance, with over half of the evaluated teachers in Antipolo City receiving "Outstanding" ratings.

Collectively, these studies suggest that factors such as job satisfaction, effective evaluation tools like the IPCRF, and continuous professional development contribute to the high performance levels observed among teachers.

#### 4.0 Conclusion

Based on the findings, this study concludes that while certain demographic factors influence specific aspects of teachers' work attitudes, organizational commitment, and workplace interactions, they do not fully determine overall job performance. Teachers with higher academic ranks and income levels tend to have stronger relationships with colleagues, while learner relations remain stable across all groups. Similarly, perceptions of the school environment and incentives are consistent regardless of demographic characteristics, indicating a shared experience among teachers. In terms of organizational commitment, teachers with higher educational attainment show a stronger sense of moral duty to stay in the profession, while those with familial responsibilities feel a greater financial necessity to remain. However, affective commitment, which reflects emotional attachment to the job, is not significantly influenced by demographic variables. Despite these variations, most teachers in the district demonstrate high levels of performance, with the majority rated as "Very Satisfactory" or "Outstanding." Regression analyses indicate that demographic factors alone are weak predictors of work attitudes and commitment, suggesting that other elements – such as institutional support, leadership, job satisfaction, and personal motivation-may play a more significant role. To sustain teacher engagement and effectiveness, educational institutions should prioritize fostering a supportive and motivating work environment that goes beyond demographic considerations. Future research could explore these non-demographic influences further to develop strategies for enhancing teacher commitment and job performance.

# 5.0 Contributions of Authors

The authors of this research collaborated to review and approve the final version of the study. This ensures the study's completeness and integrity. Author Dr. Jane C. Oropa played an integral part in the editing, writing, supervision, data analysis, and encoding. Author Jenefir M. Magtoltol, concentrated on the fieldwork, distribution and retrieval of survey instruments, doing comprehensive data analysis, transcribing the gathered material, and adhering to ethical guidelines throughout the study. These complementary contributions underscore the collaborative endeavours and mutual obligations as adviser and mentee to generate a thorough and ethically robust research output.

# 6.0 Funding

Funding is solely from the personal expenses of the authors. No funding received from funding agency.

### 7.0 Conflict of Interests

As the author of this manuscript, we hereby declare the following information regarding any potential conflicts of interest that may have influenced or could be perceived to influence the research, analysis, and conclusions presented in this work:

Financial Interest: The author declare that they have no financial conflict of interest.

Personal Relationships: Disclose any personal or professional relationships that could influence the research, such as familial relationships with individual in the field, collaboration that may create bias, or personal rivalties.

Institutional affiliations: Department of Education and North Easter Mindanao State University - Caraga Region

Intellectual Property & Patents: Disclose any patents, copyright, or intellectual property rights related to the research.

Other potential conflicts: The Author declare no other conflict of interest.

# 8.0 Acknowledgment

This research would not have been possible without infinite support, prayers, assistance, and encouragement from many people behind the success of this study. I would sincerely like to extend my deepest gratitude and appreciation to the following.

To our Almighty Father, for giving me wisdom, strength, and guidance in my daily routine in accomplishing this study. Most of all for keeping me safe in every travel and even in times of danger.

To my adviser Dr. Jane C. Oropa for her unfailing love, support, infinite empathy, motivation, patience, word of encouragement and her motherly love during my arduous time of study. To all the panels headed by Dr. Nemesio G. Loayon with the members Dr. Jennifer M. Montero, Dr. Erwin B. Berry and Dr. Karla Jeane P. Roz for their knowledge and expertise that they imparted along the way.

To the staff of the graduate school for being nice and approachable in times when we need thorough clarifications and for granting our favors when needed.

To the DepEd- Agusan del Sur, headed by the School's Division Superintendent, Josita B. Carmen for the approval to conduct this study.

To Dr. Emmanuel T. Cordora for being an approachable and supportive Schools District Supervisor.

To all the validators of my self-made questionnaire, thank you so much for sharing your knowledge and expertise.

To all the school heads of Talacogon West District, my sincere appreciation for your approval and support in the conduct of this study to your designated school.

To all the teacher respondents, for their full support and cooperation. Without your willingness to share your time, experiences, and perspectives, this research would have not been possible. To my sister Jevon M. Gabales and to her husband Edgardo G.Gabales for assisting me in my endeavor to succeed in every defense that I am going through. For doing the task far beyond my reach to make everything easy.

To my parents, mama Enday and Papa Bebet and family members, Ken-Ken and uncle Fred who have been my refuge, my source of strength, motivation and inspiration to those times that I could not see the light in my journey.

To my husband Rocelino C. Magtoltol, for pushing me in times that I am losing my hope in finishing this study. For the financial support, love, understanding, time, and patience in extending efforts regardless of distance and hectic schedules. Your words of wisdom and encouragement kept me motivated and focused on my goals.

Once again, my sincerest thanks to all of those who contributed to this research study.

# 9.0 References

Antoni, A., Fitria, H., & Ahyani, N. (2023). The influence of teacher's certification and motivation on the teacher's performance. Journal of Social Work and Science Education, 4(3), 142–154. https://doi.org/10.52690/jswse.v4i3.527

Assaf, J., & Antoun, S. (2024). Impact of job satisfaction on teacher well-being and education quality. Pedagogical Research, 9(3), em0204. https://doi.org/10.29333/pr/14437
Bacus, R. C., Picardal, M. T., Perez, N. B., & Balo, V. T. M. (2024). Predictors of beginning teachers' teaching performance. Frontiers in Education, 9.
https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1375726

- Balanquit, et al. (2023). The Influence of Faculty Members' Educational Attainment on the Performance in the Licensure Examination for Teachers (LET) among State Universities and Colleges in the Philippines. Journal of Curriculum and Teaching, 12(1), 247-247. https://doi.org/10.5430/jct.v12n1p247
- Z. (2024). The Influence of Teachers' Attitude towards Performance Appraisal System on Their Job Performance as Mediated by Secondary School Teachers' Motivation. Participatory Educational Research, 11(5), 169–187. https://doi.org/10.17275/per.24.69.11.5
- Cadag, C. E. (2024). The Effectiveness of Individual Performance Commitment Review Form as an Evaluation Tool to Improve Teachers' Performance: Basis for Technical Assistance. International Journal of Multidisciplinary: Applied Business and Education Research, 5(2), 724-747. https://doi.org/10.11594/ijmaber.05.02.30
- Eckhaus, E., & Davidovitch, N. (2020). Academic Rank and Position Effect on Academic Research Output A Case Study of Ariel University. International Journal of Higher Education, 10(1), 295. https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v10n1p295
- Fabella, et al.. (2022). Job satisfaction of selected Filipino teachers working in Philippine public schools and in U.S. public schools: A comparative study. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 16(2), 1054-1065. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2022.16.2.1288

  Fang, J., & Qi, Z.-P. (2023). The influence of school climate on teachers' job satisfaction: The mediating role of teachers' self-efficacy. PLOS ONE, 18(10), e0287555–e0287555.
- https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287555
- Gragasin, et al. (2023). The impact of job satisfaction, anxiety, and attitude toward teaching on individual performance commitment and review form (IPCRF) rating. International Journal of Research Studies in Management, 11(12), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.5861/ijrsm.2023.1147

  Han, J., & Yin, H. (2016). Teacher motivation: Definition, research development and implications for teachers. Cogent Education, 3(1), 1217819.
- https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2016.1217819
- Jimenez, E. C. (2020). Emotional quotient, work attitude and teaching performance of secondary school teachers. Journal of Pedagogical Sociology and Psychology, 2(1), 25-35. https://doi.org/10.33902/jpsp.2020161079
- Kelechi, A. J., & Nnamdi, A. (2024). Educational qualifications and job performance of teachers in public primary schools in Rivers South-East Senatorial District. Faculty of Natural and Applied Sciences Journal of Mathematics, and Science Education, 5(2), 37–42. https://fnasjournals.com/index.php/FNAS-JMSE/article/view/384
- Kent, D. (2024). Key facts about public school teachers in the U.S. Pew Research Center. Retrieved from https://tinyurl.com/y9mjppkz
- McCombes, S. (2023). Survey Research/Definition, Examples & Methods. https://www.scribbr.com/methodology/sampling-methods
- Mgaiwa, S. J. (2023). Job satisfaction among university academics: Do academic rank and age make a difference? Cogent Education, 10(2), 2230395. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2230395
- nttps://doi.org/10.1080/2301168A.2023.2230395

  Mocheche, et al. (2018). Does Age Matter?: Job Satisfaction of Public Secondary School Teachers. 7(9). https://tinyurl.com/hfb75jnz

  Nagera, J. J., & Espiritu, M. (2024). Needs Analysis of Teachers Development on Selected Public Elementary Schools in Antipolo City: Basis for a Proposed Training Program. Psychology and Education: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 28(4), 323-331. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14237947
- Nwogbo, V. N., & Ugwuoke, U. N. (2021). Teachers Attitude To Work As Correlate To Teachers Job Performance In Secondary Schools In Anambra State. African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies, 3(1), 129-135. https://ajemates.org/index.php/ajemates/article/view/73
- Owan, V. J. (2021). Predictive Path Modelling of Indicators of Secondary School Instructors' Affective, Continuance and Normative Job Commitment. Journal of International Cooperation and Development, 4(2), 86. https://doi.org/10.36941/jicd-2021-0015
  Pan, Y., & Arguelles, R. (2024). The Impact of University Teachers' Organizational Commitment on Work Performance. Frontiers in Business, Economics and Management, 15(1), 84-88.
- https://doi.org/10.54097/h4h9hc54
- Paraiso, et al. (2024). In the exigency of the service: A response to a higher calling, ETCOR Educational Research Center Research Consultancy Services
- Reeves, R. (2025). Missing misters: gender diversity among teachers | AIBM. American Institute for Boys and Men. https://tinyurl.com/4p7s
- Siddique, et al. (2022). Work Engagement and Job Performance of Teachers: A Correlational Study. Global Educational Studies Review, VII (I), 502-516. https://doi.org/10.31703/gesr.2022(vii-i).48
- Shu, K. (2022). Teachers' Commitment and Self-Efficacy as Predictors of Work Engagement and Well-Being. Frontiers in Psychology, 13. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.850204">https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.850204</a>
  TÜRK, E. F., & KORKMAZ, Ö. (2022). Teachers' Levels of Dedication and Commitment to Their Professions and Attitudes to Their Professions. Participatory Educational Research, 9(5), 1–
- 25. https://doi.org/10.17275/per.22.101.9.
- Viernes, et al. (2024). Job Commitment, Satisfaction, and Teachers' Performance: Basis for Retention Program. European Modern Studies Journal, 8(3). https://doi.org/10.59573/emsj.8(3).2024.17